1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISCTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 8 9 JONATHAN W. MCKINNEY, 10 NO. C08-5720 BHS/KLS Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S 11 v. MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE TO FILE RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S 12 JOHN MILLS, MOTION TO DISMISS 13 Defendant. 14 Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to 15 Defendant's Rule 12(b) Motion to Dismiss. Dkt. 21. Defendant's motion to dismiss (Dkt. 13) 16 is presently noted for July 31, 2008. Plaintiff requests an extension because of recent medical 17 procedures and transfer to a new facility. Dkt. 21. Defendant has not filed a response in 18 opposition to the request for an extension. 19 The Court finds that Plaintiff should be granted an extension of time to respond to the 20 pending motion to dismiss. Plaintiff states that he will present "evidence and argument that 21 will support a claim of physical injury caused in whole or in part by the assaultive conduct of 22 Officer Mills." Dkt. 4, p. 6. However, he has not filed a motion to amend or provided an 23 amended complaint for the Court's review. His response to the motion to dismiss is limited to 24 those facts originally alleged in his complaint. Based on that response, the Court will 25 26

1	determine whether he shall be given an opportunity to amend his pleading in order to cure any	
2	deficiencies.	
3	Accordingly, it is ORDERED :	
4	(1)	Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time (Dkt. 21) is GRANTED .
5	(2)	Plaintiff may file his response to the motion to dismiss (Dkt. 13) on or before
6	September 11, 2009 ¹ .	
7	(3)	Defendants' reply shall be due on or before September 11, 2009 .
8	(4)	The court clerk shall re-note the motion to dismiss (Dkt. 13) for the court's
9	consideration for September 11, 2009 .	
10	(5)	The Clerk is directed to send copies of this order to Plaintiff and counsel for
11	Defendants.	
12	DATED this <u>21st</u> day of August, 2009.	
13		
14		La Company of the second of th
15		Karen L. Strombom
16		United States Magistrate Judge
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	¹ Plain	tiff states that he is proceeding under the presumption that the court has
23	rescheduled the hearing of this motion due to Defendant's inability to arrange telephonic participation of the Plaintiff. However, unless otherwise ordered by the court, all motions will	
24	be decided by the court without oral argument. Counsel shall not appear on the date the motion is noted unless directed by the court. If a request for oral argument is granted, the clerk	
25	will notify the	e parties of the date and time for argument. CR 7.

26